## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA

MICHAEL GRIFFITH, et al.,		)	
	Plaintiff,	)	Case No.: 2:21-cv-01397-GMN-BNW
VS.		)	
		)	ORDER
PARAN LLP,		)	
	D C 1	)	
	Defendant.	)	
		)	

Pending before the Court is the Report and Recommendation of United States Magistrate Judge Brenda Weksler, (ECF No. 9), which recommends that Plaintiff Detrick Curtis Conerly's ("Plaintiff's") Complaint, (ECF No. 1-1) be dismissed without leave to amend.

A party may file specific written objections to the findings and recommendations of a United States Magistrate Judge made pursuant to Local Rule IB 1-4. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B); D. Nev. Local R. IB 3-2. Upon the filing of such objections, the Court must make a de novo determination of those portions to which objections are made. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); D. Nev. Local R. IB 3-2(b). The Court may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the Magistrate Judge. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); D. Nev. IB 3-2(b). Where a party fails to object, however, the Court is not required to conduct "any review at all... of any issue that is not the subject of an objection." *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 149 (1985). Indeed, the Ninth Circuit has recognized that a district court is not required to review a magistrate judge's report and recommendation where no objections have been filed. *See, e.g.*, *United States v. Reyna–Tapia*, 328 F.3d 1114, 1122 (9th Cir. 2003).

Here, no objections were filed, and the deadline to do so, February 24, 2022, has passed.

1	(See Report and Recommendation, ECF No. 9). Given that the Court adopts in full the Report			
2	and Recommendation, (ECF No. 9), which dismisses Plaintiff's Complaint without leave to			
3	amend, the Court further denies as moot Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment, (ECF No.			
4	2).			
5	Accordingly,			
6	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Report and Recommendation, (ECF No. 9), is			
7	ACCEPTED and ADOPTED in full.			
8	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Plaintiff's Complaint, (ECF No. 1-1), is			
9	<b>DISMISSED</b> without leave to amend.			
0	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment, (ECF			
11	No. 2), is <b>DENIED as moot</b> .			
12	The Clerk's Office is directed to close this case accordingly.			
13	<b>DATED</b> this28 day of February, 2022.			
4	Mail Comments			
15	Gloria M. Navarro, District Judge			
16	United States District Court			
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				